# A MACHINE-LEARNING SURROGATE MODEL FOR ab initio Electronic Correlations at Extreme CONDITIONS

Tobias Dornheim, Zhandos A. Moldabekov, & Attila Cangi Center for Advanced Systems Understanding (CASUS) D-02826 Görlitz, Germany {t.dornheim, z.moldabekov, a.cangi}@hzdr.de

#### ABSTRACT

The electronic structure in matter under extreme conditions is a challenging complex system prevalent in astrophysical objects and highly relevant for technological applications. We show how machine-learning surrogates in terms of neural networks have a profound impact on the efficient modeling of matter under extreme conditions. We demonstrate the utility of a surrogate model that is trained on *ab initio* quantum Monte Carlo data for various applications in the emerging field of warm dense matter research.

### **1** INTRODUCTION

Modeling complex systems is a complicated task. It requires taking into account hierarchies on different scales and their inherent nontrivial interactions. In Systems Biology, morphogenesis is an apt example. Phenomena on the nanoscale like chemical reactions of proteins determine the shape of an entire biological cell. Likewise, modeling air quality is a suitable example from the field of Earth System Research. Microscale meteorological phenomena like small atmospheric turbulences give rise to thunderstorms at the meteorological mesoscale. These, in turn, lead to atmospheric circulation phenomena on the global scale like El Nino.

In this work, we focus on warm dense matter (WDM) which is a prime example of a hierarchical, complex system. Induced by extreme electromagnetic fields, temperatures and pressures, WDM is a highly challenging phase of matter. While WDM phenomena in state-of-the-art experiments take place on the atomic scale, their manifestations in astronomical objects like giant gas planet interiors (see Schöttler & Redmer (2018)) and crusts of neutron stars have astronomical dimensions. For instance, electrons react on the level of picoseconds (i.e.,  $10^{-12}$ s), whereas the evolution of stars and planets takes billions of years. These examples underline the fact that modeling WDM rigorously requires a bottom-up design that integrates computationally challenging *ab initio* calculations on the atomic scale. Modeling such a complex system can be achieved by fusing digital twins of experiments with nano-physics informed models.

From a physical perspective, WDM is defined by two characteristic parameters: (1) the density parameter  $r_s = \overline{r}/a_B$  with  $\overline{r}$  denoting the mean electronic distance and  $a_B$  the first Bohr radius; (2) the degeneracy temperature  $\theta = k_B T/E_F$  where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, T the temperature, and  $E_F$  the Fermi energy (see Giuliani & Vignale (2008) for details). The WDM regime is demarked by the region of parameter space where both characteristic parameters are simultaneously of the order of unity. This means that an accurate description of WDM on the atomic scale must take into account the intriguingly intricate interplay of a) the Coulomb repulsion between the electrons, which causes correlations amongst them, b) thermal excitations, which rule out ground-state methods used in quantum chemistry, and c) quantum degeneracy effects such as Pauli blocking, which prevents the use of semi-classical methods like molecular dynamics. Due to these challenges, the arguably most promising option is to resort to *ab initio* quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods (see e.g. Anderson (2007); Ceperley (1995) for a detailed introduction). QMC methods provide a solution to the quantum many-body problem without any empirical input and are, in principle, exact. As a common denominator in all QMC methods, the physical property of interest (the total energy, pressure, etc.) is expressed as a high-dimensional integral, which is evaluated stochastically using the Monte Carlo method pioneered by Metropolis et al. (1953).

Yet, the QMC simulation of particles obeying Fermi-Dirac-statistics (*fermions*, such as electrons) is severely hampered by the notorious fermion sign problem. It leads to an exponential scaling of the compute time with increasing system size or decreasing temperature; see Dornheim (2019) for a recent, accessible discussion of this issue. In fact, Troyer & Wiese (2005) showed that the sign problem is NP-hard in many relevant cases, and that a general solution thus appears unlikely. This is a fundamental problem for modeling WDM, first and foremost, in support of WDM experiments. While an on-the-fly QMC simulation is beyond the scope even on modern supercomputers, many applications require a rapid evaluation of electronic properties on a continuous parameter grid (often mass density and temperature).

A first seminal step towards solving this problem has recently been presented by Dornheim et al. (2019). A highly accurate surrogate model for electronic correlations covering the relevant range of parameters in WDM has been constructed by combining the output of different *ab initio* techniques. In this work, we review this effort concisely and illustrate three of its applications: (1) supporting the interpretation of an X-Ray scattering experiment on warm dense aluminum by Sperling et al. (2015), (2) predicting yet unexplored nonlinear effects in WDM, and (3) computing energy-loss properties of WDM.

# 2 A SURROGATE MODEL FOR ELECTRONIC CORRELATIONS



Figure 1: Left: Schematic neural-network layout of the machine-learning surrogate model representing the static LFC. The actual network is comprised of  $N_l = 40$  fully connected hidden layers with  $N_n = 64$  neurons in each. Right: Density-temperature plane with available training data. MCS: Moroni et al. (1995); CDOP: Corradini et al. (1998). The neural net covers the shaded green region. Taken from Dornheim et al. (2019) with the permission of AIP Publishing.

The central result of Dornheim et al. (2019) is a surrogate model for the electronic static local field correction (LFC) — a central quantity in linear response theory that encodes electronic correlations in terms of wave-number resolved exchange–correlation effects; see e.g. the review by Dornheim et al. (2018). This was achieved by training a fully connected deep neural network, which is valuable method for universal function approximation, e.g. Rolnick & Tegmark (2018). The basic layout is shown in the left panel of Fig. 1. The LFC  $G(q; r_s, \theta)$  takes as input the wave-number q, the density parameter  $r_s$ , and the temperature parameter  $\theta$ . This tuple is then processed by the neural network. The output layer yields the corresponding G, which is a real number.

Naturally, this requires a sufficient amount of training data. This is illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 1, which shows the parameters  $r_s$  and  $\theta$  where training data for G are available (over the full q-range of interest). Available *ab inito* path-integral Monte Carlo data at finite temperature ( $\theta \ge 0.5$ ) are denoted by red crosses. These simulations quickly become infeasible at lower values of  $\theta$  due to the exponential increase in compute time, i.e., the fermion sign problem discussed above. In addition, Moroni et al. (1995) generated data for G at  $\theta = 0$  using a complementary ground-state

method, which is depicted by the black squares. Finally, these data were used by Corradini et al. (1998) to obtain an analytical parametrization of  $G(q; r_s, 0)$ , i.e., the dashed blue line at the bottom.

The task at hand is then two-fold: the neural-network needs to (1) reproduce the training data accurately and (2) interpolate smoothly between the provided data points. The details of the training procedure can be found in the original publication by Dornheim et al. (2019), including the training loss, optimizer, and validation against independent data. Here, we restrict ourselves to a brief discussion of the results, before we proceed to the applications of the surrogate model for the prediction of different material properties detailed in Sec. 3.

In the left panel of Fig. 2, we show the LFC in the q- $\theta$ -plane for a fixed value of the density parameter  $r_s$ . In particular, the red crosses show the path-integral Monte Carlo results at finite temperature and the black squares (dashed blue line) the QMC results (parametrization) in the ground state. Evidently, the trained neural net (green) is able to reproduce the training data to high accuracy and to interpolate smoothly between the provided data set. The surrogate model thus yields an *ab initio* representation of electronic correlations on a continuous grid of parameters with negligible computational cost. Inference of the surrogate model takes seconds, whereas generating the training data took  $\tau \sim \mathcal{O}(10^6)$  CPU hours on various HPC platforms.



Figure 2: Left: Static LFC in the  $\theta$ -q-plain for  $r_s = 5$ . The green represents the continuous surrogate model of the electronic correlations. Taken from Dornheim et al. (2019) with the permission of AIP Publishing. Right: X-Ray Thomson scattering signal of aluminum: Experiment by Sperling et al. (2015); red: prediction by Dornheim et al. (2020a) in terms of the surrogate model; dotted blue: time-dependent density functional theory.

# **3** APPLICATIONS

Let us now focus on the application of the surrogate model for different WDM applications. A particularly important use case is the interpretation of experiments. More specifically, the diagnostics of WDM experiments is challenging, because even basic system parameters like the temperature cannot be directly measured due to the extreme conditions. Instead, the system is probed by a free-electron X-Ray laser. The detected scattering signal is used to infer the plasma parameters of interest; see Glenzer & Redmer (2009) for a review article on this method. An example for such a measured signal from an experiment on warm dense aluminum by Sperling et al. (2015) is illustrated as the black curve in the right panel of Fig. 2. The task at hand is then to fit a theoretical prediction of the signal to the experimental data, which is an optimization problem in terms of the unknown plasma parameters, i.e., the temperature T.

A common and simple method is the *random phase approximation* which neglects electronic exchange–correlation effects altogether. As shown, the resulting dashed yellow curve clearly does not reproduce the measured curve. A much more accurate and computationally more expensive method is time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) which takes about  $\tau \sim \mathcal{O}(10^4)$  CPU hours on a computing cluster. Still, it only yields qualitative agreement with the experimental sig-

nal. Finally, we demonstrate the efficacy of our machine-learning surrogate model Dornheim et al. (2020a) which is illustrated as the solid red curve. Evaluating it takes only seconds. Evidently, the agreement with the experimental signal is striking. This opens up the possibility of an automated on-the-fly interpretation of such experiments at an accuracy unattainable with any other state-of-the-art method. A corresponding open-source code is currently being developed.



Figure 3: Left: Quadratic density response function of the second harmonic; green: exact pathintegral Monte Carlo (PIMC) results for N = 14 and N = 34 electrons; blue: RPA, red: surrogatemodel. Right: Electronic friction function, taken from Moldabekov et al. (2020).

A second example are nonlinear effects in the electronic density response of WDM, see Dornheim et al. (2020b) shown in the left panel of Fig. 3. Exact path-integral Monte Carlo results for the excitation of the second harmonic of electrons in the WDM regime that are subject to a static perturbation of wave number q are denoted as green stars and diamonds. Next, the prediction based on the random phase approximation discussed above is shown as the dashed blue line. However, it underestimates the magnitude by a factor of 1/2. In contrast, our machine-learning surrogate model of  $G(q; r_s, \theta)$  (dotted red curve) is in nearly perfect agreement with the exact data. We again stress that the calculation of the entire red curve took a few seconds, whereas computing a single data point using path-integral Monte Carlo takes  $\tau \sim O(10^4)$  CPU hours.

The final application is on the electronic friction function Q(v), which is defined as the ratio between the stopping power of a target medium and the projectile velocity (Moldabekov et al. (2020)). The friction function causes dissipation effects in the ion dynamics due to the energy exchange between electrons and ions — an effect that is sensitive to the collective oscillation spectrum of the ions as was shown by Kählert (2019). While important as a physical effect, taking it into account is computationally highly expensive due to the aforementioned complexities of WDM modeling. Therefore, the classical Rayleigh model (Plyukhin (2008)) is often used to approximate the friction in terms of free electrons (Dai et al. (2010); Kang & Dai (2018)). This is a crude approximation that misses essential quantum and exchange-correlation effects. However, we can overcome the computational bottleneck and include quantum effects in terms of our machine-learning surrogate model. We, hence, precompute the friction function in the parameter range of interest efficiently in  $\tau \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$  CPU hours and then utilize it for running the Langevin dynamics of ions (Moldabekov et al. (2020)). The results for the friction function at relevant WDM parameters ( $\theta = 0.5$  and  $r_s = 2$ ) are shown in the right panel of Fig. 3. In comparison to the crude random phase approximation (blue), using the surrogate model (red) leads to a 30% increase in the friction function at velocities order of thermal velocity. This clearly illustrates the importance of the exchange-correlation effects for the friction function in WDM.

## 4 SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we summarized recent results by Dornheim et al. (2019) on a machine-learning surrogate model of electronic exchange–correlation effects at extreme densities and temperatures. The surrogate model yields highly accurate results at negligible computational cost. We presented three different applications of the surrogate model for the description of WDM. More specifically, we demonstrated the utility of the surrogate model for on-the-fly interpretation of experiments. Furthermore, we illustrated its ability to model nonlinear effects in WDM. Finally, we demonstrated how the surrogate model is utilized to compute energy-loss properties like the electronic friction and the stopping power in WDM.

We stress that we only presented a small selection of possible applications. Others include the construction of electronically screened potentials, the computation of thermal and electrical conductivities, the extension of quantum hydrodynamics, and the construction of advanced exchange– correlation functionals for density functional theory both in the ground state and at extreme conditions.

The construction of accurate surrogate models based on complex HPC data is a general approach. Potential prospective applications of this surrogate model include the extension to other electronic properties such as the momentum distribution and the thermal density matrix. As a final outcome, we envision constructing reliable digital twins of experiments. These would enable unprecedented insights into the interplay of different physical effects. Additionally, they would lead to the design of a new generation of experiments guided by rigorous theoretical descriptions with unassailable predictive capability.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly funded by the Center for Advanced Systems Understanding (CASUS) which is financed by Germany's Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the Saxon Ministry for Science, Culture and Tourism (SMWK) with tax funds on the basis of the budget approved by the Saxon State Parliament. The PIMC calculations were carried out at the Norddeutscher Verbund für Hoch- und Höchstleistungsrechnen (HLRN) under grant shp00026, and on a Bull Cluster at the Center for Information Services and High Performace Computing (ZIH) at Technische Universität Dresden.

#### REFERENCES

- J.B. Anderson. *Quantum Monte Carlo: Origins, Development, Applications*. Oxford University Press, USA, 2007. ISBN 9780195310108. URL https://books.google.de/books?id=\_QUSDAAAQBAJ.
- D. M. Ceperley. Path integrals in the theory of condensed helium. *Rev. Mod. Phys*, 67:279, 1995. URL https://journals.aps.org/rmp/abstract/10.1103/RevModPhys.67. 279.
- M. Corradini, R. Del Sole, G. Onida, and M. Palummo. Analytical expressions for the local-field factor g(q) and the exchange-correlation kernel  $K_{\rm xc}(r)$  of the homogeneous electron gas. *Phys. Rev. B*, 57:14569, 1998. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.14569.
- Jiayu Dai, Yong Hou, and Jianmin Yuan. Unified first principles description from warm dense matter to ideal ionized gas plasma: Electron-ion collisions induced friction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104: 245001, Jun 2010. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.245001. URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.245001.
- T. Dornheim. Fermion sign problem in path integral Monte Carlo simulations: Quantum dots, ultracold atoms, and warm dense matter. *Phys. Rev. E*, 100:023307, 2019. URL https://journals.aps.org/pre/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevE.100.023307.
- T. Dornheim, S. Groth, and M. Bonitz. The uniform electron gas at warm dense matter conditions. *Phys. Reports*, 744:1–86, 2018. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0370157318300516.

- T. Dornheim, J. Vorberger, S. Groth, N. Hoffmann, Zh.A. Moldabekov, and M. Bonitz. The static local field correction of the warm dense electron gas: An ab initio path integral Monte Carlo study and machine learning representation. *J. Chem. Phys*, 151:194104, 2019. URL https://aip.scitation.org/doi/full/10.1063/1.5123013.
- Tobias Dornheim, Attila Cangi, Kushal Ramakrishna, Maximilian Böhme, Shigenori Tanaka, and Jan Vorberger. Effective static approximation: A fast and reliable tool for warm-dense matter theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 125:235001, Dec 2020a. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.235001. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.235001.
- Tobias Dornheim, Jan Vorberger, and Michael Bonitz. Nonlinear electronic density response in warm dense matter. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 125:085001, Aug 2020b. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett. 125.085001. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.085001.
- G. Giuliani and G. Vignale. *Quantum Theory of the Electron Liquid*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- S. H. Glenzer and R. Redmer. X-ray thomson scattering in high energy density plasmas. *Rev. Mod. Phys*, 81:1625, 2009. URL https://journals.aps.org/rmp/abstract/10.1103/ RevModPhys.81.1625.
- Hanno Kählert. Dynamic structure factor of strongly coupled Yukawa plasmas with dissipation. *Physics of Plasmas*, 26(6):063703, 2019. doi: 10.1063/1.5099579. URL https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5099579.
- Dongdong Kang and Jiayu Dai. Dynamic electron-ion collisions and nuclear quantum effects in quantum simulation of warm dense matter. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 30(7): 073002, jan 2018. doi: 10.1088/1361-648x/aa9e29. URL https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648x/aa9e29.
- Nicholas Metropolis, Arianna W. Rosenbluth, Marshall N. Rosenbluth, Augusta H. Teller, and Edward Teller. Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 21(6):1087–1092, 1953. doi: 10.1063/1.1699114. URL https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699114.
- Zh. A. Moldabekov, T. Dornheim, M. Bonitz, and T. S. Ramazanov. Ion energy-loss characteristics and friction in a free-electron gas at warm dense matter and nonideal dense plasma conditions. *Phys. Rev. E*, 101:053203, May 2020. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.101.053203. URL https:// link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.101.053203.
- S. Moroni, D. M. Ceperley, and G. Senatore. Static response and local field factor of the electron gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 75:689, 1995. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/ PhysRevLett.75.689.
- A. V. Plyukhin. Generalized fokker-planck equation, brownian motion, and ergodicity. *Phys. Rev. E*, 77:061136, Jun 2008. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.77.061136. URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.061136.
- David Rolnick and Max Tegmark. The power of deeper networks for expressing natural functions, 2018.
- M. Schöttler and R. Redmer. Ab initio calculation of the miscibility diagram for hydrogen-helium mixtures. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 120:115703, 2018. URL https://journals.aps.org/prl/ abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.115703.
- P. Sperling, E. J. Gamboa, H. J. Lee, H. K. Chung, E. Galtier, Y. Omarbakiyeva, H. Reinholz, G. Röpke, U. Zastrau, J. Hastings, L. B. Fletcher, and S. H. Glenzer. Free-electron x-ray laser measurements of collisional-damped plasmons in isochorically heated warm dense matter. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115:115001, Sep 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.115001. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.115001.
- M. Troyer and U. J. Wiese. Computational complexity and fundamental limitations to fermionic quantum Monte Carlo simulations. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 94:170201, 2005. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.170201.